

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE           | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR                | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/787,266  | 02/26/2004            | Guy Hubert Stephane Sylvain Culeron | AA-615M2                | 5154             |  |
| 27752   | 27752 7590 06/13/2006 |                                     |                         | EXAMINER         |  |
| THE PROC  | TER & GAMBLE C        | DOUYON, LORNA M                     |                         |                  |  |
| INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 |                       |                                     | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE   |                       |                                     | 1751                    |                  |  |
| CINCINNATI, OH 45224  |                       |                                     | DATE MAILED: 06/13/2006 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary                                |   | 10/787,266   | CULERON ET AL.   |  |  |  |
|  |   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|  |   | Lorna M. Douyon  | 1751   |  |  |  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this communication app  |  | the correspondence address   |  |  |  |
|  |   | / IS SET TO EVOIDE 2 MON   | VITH(S) OB THIRTY (30) DAVS  |  |  |  |
| WHIC<br>- Exte<br>after<br>- If NO<br>- Failt<br>Any | HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAPENSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA<br>36(a). In no event, however, may a reply<br>rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH:<br>cause the application to become ABAN | ATION.  y be timely filed  S from the mailing date of this communication.  IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ma   | <u>arch 2006</u> .   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3)□  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under E  | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1  | 1, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |
| Disposit   | ion of Claims   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠  | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-15</u> is/are pending in the application.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5)[  | Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Claim(s) <u>1-9, 11-15</u> is/are rejected.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ·  | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8)∐  | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | election requirement.  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicat   | ion Papers  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)[  | The specification is objected to by the Examiner  | ٠.   |  |  |  |  |
| 10)[   | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce   | epted or b) objected to by   | the Examiner.  |  |  |  |
|  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the o   | drawing(s) be held in abeyance   | . See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |
| _  | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction   | =  |  |  |  |  |
| 11)  | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa   | aminer. Note the attached C  | Office Action or form PTO-152.   |  |  |  |
| Priority (   | under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:   | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1   | 19(a)-(d) or (f).  |  |  |  |
|  | <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori   | •  | ceived in this National Stage  |  |  |  |
| • 4  | application from the International Bureau   | •  |  |  |  |  |
| " `  | See the attached detailed Office action for a list o  | or the certified copies not re   | ceivea.  |  |  |  |
| Attachmer  | • •   | -  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 4) Interview Sum<br>Paper No(s)/N  | nmary (PTO-413)<br>//ail Date  |  |  |  |
| 3) X Infor   | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 9/15/05.  |  | rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |

1. This action is responsive to the amendment filed on March 30, 2006.

- 2. Claims 1-9, 11-15 are pending.
- 3. The terminal disclaimer filed on September 13, 2005 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of copending Application No. 10/787,343 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.
- 4. The terminal disclaimer filed on March 30, 2006 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of copending Application No. 10/787,342 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.
- 5. The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed March 30, 2006 is sufficient to overcome the rejections based upon Fowler in view of Balzer, and Fowler in view of Balzer in further view of Boehm or Baeck. The declaration provided sufficient distinction between true solutions or emulsion with microemulsion or protomicroemulsion.

Art Unit: 1751

6. The rejection of claims 1-4, 6-9, 11, 13-15 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fowler et al. (US Patent No. 5,635,469) in view of Balzer (US Patent No. 5,858,954) is withdrawn in view of Applicants' arguments.

- 7. The rejection of claim 9 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fowler in view of Balzer in further view of Boehm et al. (US Patent No. 3,422,993) is withdrawn in view of Applicants' arguments.
- 8. The rejection of claim 5 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fowler in view of Balzer as applied to the above claims and further in view of Baeck is withdrawn in view of Applicants' arguments.

## Specification

9. A substitute specification in compliance with 37 CFR 1.52, 1.212(b)(3), and 1.125 is required. The specification, claims, or abstract page(s) submitted is not acceptable and cannot be scanned or properly stored because:

The specification contains drawings or flow diagrams (37 CFR 1.58(a)) on page 9.

Drawings or flow diagrams cannot be embedded in the specification and should be submitted separately in accordance with 37 CFR 1.84. Both a substitute specification and substitute drawings are required.

Art Unit: 1751

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

11. Claims 1-2, 4, 6-8, 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loth et al. (US Patent No. 5,075,026), hereinafter "Loth".

Loth teaches an improved all-purpose liquid cleaner in the form of a dilute microemulsion composition containing 1% to 10% by weight of an anionic detergent, 2 to 10% by weight of cosurfactant, 0.4% to 10% by weight of perfume and the balance water, or a concentrated microemulsion composition (which read on protomicroemulsion) containing by weight, 18% to 65% of anionic and nonionic detergent, 2% to 30% of cosurfactant, 10% to 50% of perfume and the balance water which upon dilution with water will yield said dilute o/w microemulsion composition (see abstract; col. 1, lines 5-9). The dilute o/w microemulsion detergent cleaning compositions of the present invention may often include as much as about 0.2% to about 7% by weight, based on the total composition, of terpene solvents introduced thereinto via the perfume component (see col. 5, lines 15-21). In final form, the all-purpose liquids are <u>clear</u> oil-in-water microemulsions (see col. 13, lines 25-27), hence, the perfumes are non-visible droplets having diameters within those recited, and should have water solubility within those recited. The microemulsion is also construed to read on Newtonian fluids. The liquids are readily pourable and exhibit a viscosity in the range of 6 to 60 centipoises (equivalent to 0.06Pas) as measured at 25°C with a Brookfield RVT Viscometer using a #1 spindle rotating at 20 RPM (see col. 13, lines 31-36). When intended for use in the neat form, the liquid

Art Unit: 1751

compositions can be packaged under pressure in an aerosol container or in a <u>pump-type sprayer</u> for the so-called spray-and-wipe type of application (see col. 13, lines 45-48). Loth, however, fails to disclose a dispenser which generates a foam having a foam to weight ratio greater than about 2 ml/g.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have employed a dispenser, i.e., pump-type sprayer wherein the foam generated from the dispenser would exhibit a foam having a foam to weight ratio within those recited because similar ingredients with overlapping viscosity and similar dispenser have been utilized.

12. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loth in view of Fowler et al. (US Patent No. 5,635,469) hereinafter "Fowler".

Loth teaches the features as described above. Loth, however, fails to disclose a foaming dispenser with three meshes.

Fowler teaches a similar composition in a nonaerosol dispenser having three meshes (see col. 22, line 59 to col. 23, line 5). Foams containing relatively large diameter bubbles can be refined by forcing said foams through various foam refining means including screens, porous frits, porous media and combination thereof (see col. 19, lines 63-66).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the dispenser of Fowler having three meshes because such use would provide refined foams as taught by Fowler.

13. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loth in view of Baeck et al. (US Patent No. 5,679,630), hereinafter "Baeck"

Loth teaches the features as described above. Loth, however, fails to disclose the incorporation of enzymes into the composition.

Baeck teaches protease enzymes having improved proteolytic activity, substrate specificity, stability and/or enhanced performance (see col. 1, lines 53-58) which can be used in any detergent composition or concentrated detergent compositions where high sudsing and/or good insoluble substrate removal are desired (see col. 21, lines 1-12) such as in cleaning fabrics, cleaning dishes and for personal cleansing (see col. 2, lines 16-32).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate enzymes into the composition of Loth because this would provide improved proteolytic activity, substrate specificity, stability and/or enhanced performance as taught by Baeck.

14. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loth as applied to the above claims, and further in view of Boehm et al. (US Patent No. 3,422,993), hereinafter "Boehm".

Loth teaches the features as described above. Loth, however, fails to specifically disclose a foam-generating dispenser comprising a sponge.

Boehm teaches a dispensing device and package for common household products for cleaning as well as personal products wherein the dispenser is provided with a porous material, for example the natural sponges (see col. 3, lines 48-66).

Art Unit: 1751

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use sponge as the porous media in the dispenser of Loth because it is known from Boehm that the common porous media in foam dispensing devices are sponges.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lorna M. Douyon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1313. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays from 8:00AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas McGinty can be reached on (571) 272-1029. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lorna M. Douyon
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1751